

Message Text

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 01 STATE 108425
ORIGIN EB-04

INFO OCT-01 SS-05 ISO-00 /010 R

66011

DRAFTED BY: EB/IFD/OMA:BCROWE

APPROVED BY: EB/IFD/OMA:WMILAM

-----080481 280316Z /70

O 280030Z APR 78

FM SECSTATE WASHDC

TO AMEMBASSY PARIS IMMEDIATE

C O N F I D E N T I A L STATE 108425

LIMDIS PASS DEP ASST SECY MEISSNER BY OOB 4/28/78

FOLLOWING REPEAT ISLAMABAD 4171 SENT ACTION SECSTATE 27 APR 78

QUOTE C O N F I D E N T I A L ISLAMABAD 4171

LIMDIS

E.O. 11652: GDS

TAGS: EFIN, PK

SUBJECT: PAKISTAN DEBT RESCHEDULING

REF: STATE 107221

1. IBRD ACTING RES REP (DEN TUINDER) TOLD ECONCOUNS THIS MORNING THAT HE HAD RECEIVED MESSAGE CITING CONSIDERABLE OPPOSITION TO RESCHEDULING AT THIS TIME AND INSTRUCTING HIM TO TRY TO SEEK POSTPONEMENT OF APRIL 29 MEETING WITH CONSORITUM AMBASSADORS UNTIL AFTER HEADS OF DELEGATION MEETING MAY 4. IN ABSENCE OF SECRETARY GENERAL OF FINANCE KAZI, WHO HAS NOT YET RETURNED FROM ADB MEETING, HE CARRIED OUT INSTRUCTION APRIL 26 WITH GHULAM ISHAQ KHAN (CHIEF ADVISOR TO THE MARTIAL LAW ADMINISTRATION), IN MANNER THAT DID NOT
CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 STATE 108425

IDENTIFY US AS SOURCE OF OPPOSITION. GHULAM ISHAQ TURNED HIM DOWN ON GROUNDS THAT (1) MEETING WIDELY PUBLICIZED AND POSTPONEMENT WOULD RAISE DIFFICULT QUESTIONS, (2) MEETING IS MERELY PART OF A PROCESS IN CARRYING OUT LONG-SINCE ANNOUNCED INTENTION TO SEEK DEBT RESCHEDULING, (3) PAPER FOR MEETING ALREADY PUBLISHED AND BEGINNING TO CIRCULATE, AND (4) REQUEST FOR RESCHEDULING WAS PART OF COMMITMENT MADE TO OPEC COUNTRIES

(ESPECIALLY SHAH OF IRAN) AND POSTPONEMENT WOULD BE INCONSISTENT WITH THIS. DEN TUINDER REQUESTED AND GHULAM ISHAQ PROMISED PRESS COVERAGE ABOUT MEETING WOULD BE NEUTRAL AND LOW KEY.

2. PAKISTAN DEBT RESCHEDULING PAPER WILL GIVE TWO OPTIONS
(1) A NEW MULTI-YEAR RESCHEDULING EXERCISE AND (2) PROLOGATION OF EXISTING AGREEMENT FOR ONE YEAR.

3. WHILE COUNTRY TEAM AGREES THAT PAKISTAN DOES NOT NOW MEET US AND GROUP CRITERIA FOR RESCHEDULING, WE BELIEVE THE APPROACH IN REFTEL IS NEEDLESSLY CONFRONTATIONAL AND WOULD INEVITABLY AND SERIOUSLY EXACERBATE EXISTING STRAINS IN US-PAKISTAN RELATIONS. FOR ONE THING, IT IS AWFULLY LATE IN THE GAME TO TRY TO GET THE JUNE 2 MEETING POSTPONED. ALSO, IF WE ARE GOING TO REFUSE THE PAKISTANIS ON THEIR REQUEST WE THINK IT IS BETTER TO OFFER A HOPEFUL ALTERNATIVE WHICH INVOLVES THEM IN THE PROCESS RATHER THAN JUST TELLING THEM TO COME BACK LATER. IN ISLAMABAD 2838, WE RECOMMENDED TELLING THE PAKISTANIS THAT WE ARE PREPARED TO DISCUSS SYMPATHETICALLY THE TOTALITY OF THEIR ECONOMIC SITUATION, EXTERNAL AND INTERNAL, AT THE JUNE CONSORTIUM MEETING. IF THE PAKISTANIS FEEL THAT DEBT RESCHEDULING IS AN APPROPRIATE RESPONSE TO THEIR PROBLEMS -- AND THEY MADE THAT CLEAR LAST NOVEMBER AND DECEMBER -- THEY SHOULD HAVE A CHANCE TO ARGUE THEIR CASE ON JUNE 2. WE WILL HAVE THE OPPORTUNITY
CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 03 STATE 108425

AT THAT TIME TO PRESENT OUR CASE AND SET FORTH OUR INTENTIONS. AS WE POINTED OUT IN ISLAMABAD 2838, WE THINK PAKISTAN HAS A LONG TERM CAPITAL INFLOW PROBLEM THAT SHOULD BE DEALT WITH IN THE MANNER SUGGESTED IN GROUP B'S "WISDOM PAPER".

4. WE THEREFORE, REQUEST INSTRUCTIONS, ALONG THE LINES SET FORTH IN ISLAMABAD 2838 THAT AMBASSADOR COULD DELIVER AT AN APPROPRIATELY HIGH LEVEL IN THE GOP EITHER BEFORE OR SHORTLY AFTER THE APRIL 29 MEETING. WE HOPE THAT THE INSTRUCTIONS TO OTHER CONSORTIUM COUNTRIES MENTIONED IN REFTEL CAN BE CAST (OR RECAST IF NECESSARY) ALONG THESE LINES. WE ESPECIALLY URGE THAT WE NOT TRY TO GET THE JUNE 2 MEETING POSTPONED. IF UNSUCCESSFUL -- WE FEAR THE EFFORT WILL BE AS FRUITLESS AS WAS THE ATTEMPT TO POSTPONE THE APRIL 29 MEETING -- WE WILL BE ISOLATED AND EXPOSED, WITH DAMAGE TO OUR GENERAL POSITION ON DEBT AND TO OUR BILATERAL RELATIONS WITH PAKISTAN FAR IN EXCESS OF WHAT LITTLE WE MIGHT HOPE TO GAIN FROM A POSTPONEMENT.
HUMMEL

UNQUOTE VANCE

CONFIDENTIAL

NNN

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: Z
Capture Date: 01 jan 1994
Channel Indicators: n/a
Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Concepts: DEBTS, AMENDMENTS, DEBT REPAYMENTS
Control Number: n/a
Copy: SINGLE
Draft Date: 28 apr 1978
Decaption Date: 20 Mar 2014
Decaption Note: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 20 Mar 2014
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1978STATE108425
Document Source: CORE
Document Unique ID: 00
Drafter: EB/IFD/OMA:BCROWE
Enclosure: n/a
Executive Order: GS
Errors: N/A
Expiration:
Film Number: D780181-0560
Format: TEL
From: STATE
Handling Restrictions:
Image Path:
ISecure: 1
Legacy Key: link1978/newtext/t1978044/aaaaacxt.tel
Line Count: 116
Litigation Code IDs:
Litigation Codes:
Litigation History:
Locator: TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM
Message ID: 565801ab-c288-dd11-92da-001cc4696bcc
Office: ORIGIN EB
Original Classification: CONFIDENTIAL
Original Handling Restrictions: LIMDIS
Original Previous Classification: n/a
Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Page Count: 3
Previous Channel Indicators: n/a
Previous Classification: CONFIDENTIAL
Previous Handling Restrictions: LIMDIS
Reference: 78 STATE 107221
Retention: 0
Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED
Review Content Flags:
Review Date: 18 may 2005
Review Event:
Review Exemptions: n/a
Review Media Identifier:
Review Release Date: n/a
Review Release Event: n/a
Review Transfer Date:
Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a
SAS ID: 2917201
Secure: OPEN
Status: NATIVE
Subject: PAKISTAN DEBT RESCHEDULING
TAGS: EFIN, PK
To: PARIS
Type: TE
vdkgvwkey: odb://SAS/SAS.dbo.SAS_Docs/565801ab-c288-dd11-92da-001cc4696bcc
Review Markings:
Sheryl P. Walter
Declassified/Released
US Department of State
EO Systematic Review
20 Mar 2014
Markings: Sheryl P. Walter Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 20 Mar 2014